



P-ISSN 2355-2794  
E-ISSN 2461-0275

## Assessing the Relationship between the Vocabulary Level Test (VLT) and Reading Comprehension

Alpino Susanto\*

Universitas Putera Batam, Batam, INDONESIA

### Abstract

*It has been considered crucial to have adequate vocabulary knowledge in order to support EFL reading comprehension. This research was done to find out if there was any relationship between the performance of Indonesian students in the Vocabulary Level Test (VLT) and their comprehension of their English reader textbook. This pilot research describes the implications for profiling books used in teaching English (EFL) especially for reading comprehension. It also provides information on how to measure the lexical level of textbooks used for reading or for other similar subjects that involve reading. A number of 30 undergraduate students from Universitas Putera Batam participated in the VLT. Then their English reader textbook, Mosaic 1, was profiled to measure its lexical vocabulary level. The results showed that only 1% of the participants had mastered the 2,000-word level, this meant that the vocabulary level of the textbook was still far above the vocabulary knowledge of the students. Thus, they had difficulties to comprehend their reading textbook. Some additional activities have therefore been recommended to be done before, during and after studying reading comprehension.*

**Keywords:** Vocabulary Learning Test (VLT), vocabulary size, reading comprehension.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Vocabulary in foreign language learning has attracted more and more interest from both researchers and language teachers because of its role as a fundamental and complex component in first or second language learning. Schmitt et al (2001) have stated that there are two parts of vocabulary knowledge: they are breadth or size, and depth of vocabulary knowledge. Breadth is regarded as the size of a person's vocabulary and depth is concerned with the level of understanding of various aspects of

---

\*Corresponding author, email: [susanto.alpino40@gmail.com](mailto:susanto.alpino40@gmail.com)

a given word (Teng, 2014). The importance of the breadth to language learners is when they have to comprehend meaning whilst reading a text. The number of words they know will influence their reading comprehension ability. A number of researchers have investigated the correlation between breadths of vocabulary and reading comprehension performances (Milton, 2009; Nation, 2001; Zhang & Anual, 2008). In most of these cases the researchers have shown that breadth correlates with reading comprehension performance.

The Vocabulary Level Test (VLT) is typically used to measure receptive vocabulary knowledge at the lexical level. The test refers to word class category levels, such as 2000, 3000, 5000, etc., academic words. Each level contains 30 items (Xing & Fulcher, 2007). The VLT is a validated and reliable test (Nation, 2001). Some studies have reported the reliability, e.g. 0.94 or 0.91 (Liu, 2016; Read, 2009) and this test has been examined by other experts (Gyllstad, 2007; Teng, 2015; Webb & Sasao, 2013). As the measurement of VLT correlates with the level of reading comprehension, it is used to assess the reading texts chosen for undergraduate students and is the initial input to the teachers about the level of their students' ability before their lessons begins.

It is rather difficult to find results from VLTs done in Indonesia. The only relevant research in foreign language learning comes from research done in other countries: China, Singapore, and Sweden (Gyllstad, 2007; Liu, 2016; Teng, 2014, 2015; Zhang & Anual, 2008). Therefore, it is a pioneering work to do research to measure the VLT of students and to find out their vocabulary level compared to the profile of their reading textbook in Indonesia. This kind of research can be considered as ground-breaking. Moreover, understanding the vocabulary skills of students studying EFL is expected to shine light on one of the base issues in reading comprehension. If there are too many 'difficult' words, beyond the vocabulary capability and capacity of the students, it might hinder their understanding of the reading text, which could be a point to be investigated. The initial information to get is: to know the level of students' vocabulary and to understand how far they can go with the reading text assigned. This leads to the main reason why this research is being done, to see the implications for pedagogy therein.

## **2. LITERATURE REVIEW**

There are two parts in this section; the first is related to vocabulary and the second to the correlation between vocabulary size and reading comprehension.

### **2.1 English Vocabulary**

English vocabulary plays both a significant and complex role, not only in learning a first language but also in second language acquisition. When it comes to what English vocabulary means, some specialist terminology needs to be introduced to achieve a better understanding of it, such as tokens, types, lemmas and word families. English vocabulary refers to the set of words known and used by a particular person within a language (Schmitt, 2013). Let's look at the following example of a sentence: 'The man takes the wallet'. In this sentence, there are five separate words. This means the sentence has five tokens. A token is a separate word in a text. When deciding the number of word types in the example above, it is the number of different words in the

sentence that counts rather than the number of separate words. It is quite useful, as it helps learners figure out how many words there are in a reading text, how many words they have written for an essay and how large a corpus is.

From the stated example above, though it has five tokens there are only four types as the word 'the' occurs twice, but the other words in the sentence occur only once. When measuring the vocabulary size of a foreign language learner, the number of types of words is more significant than the number of tokens. This is due to the fact that more attention is paid to the number of types of words that a particular learner can produce, rather than their repetition (Moghadam et al, 2012). All of its inflections, a lemma refers to the head or root of a word, but the part of speech of this word must not be changed. For example, in English, the lemma of the word 'take' would include 'taking', 'takes', 'took' and 'taken', but 'taker' does not belong to its lemma because 'taker' is a noun, not a verb. A word family usually includes the base word and all of its inflections and derivatives regardless of its part of speech (Schmitt, 2013), so the word family of 'bring' includes 'bring', 'brings', 'bringing', and 'brought' and of 'drink' includes 'drink' and 'drinks', both nouns and verbs, 'drinking', 'drank' and 'drunk' where they refer to imbibing 'drinks' but not to 'drunk' and 'drunken' where they refer to a person.

## 2.2 Vocabulary Size and Reading Comprehension Relationship

Reading is an important part of all, even the most elementary vocabulary programs (Schmitt, 2013). Vocabulary knowledge is quite necessary for language learners in reading comprehension as a learner needs to know most of the words in a running text in order to comprehend that text. Vocabulary is basic to the reader, yet inadequate vocabulary will undoubtedly influence second language learners' reading performance in a negative way. Even though the number or percentage has not been established, some research shows a significant relationship between vocabulary size and reading comprehension ability. Nation (2001) declares that learners with a vocabulary size of more than 3000 words can read with ease because in most situations it gives coverage of at least 95% of a running text. English language learners need 98% vocabulary mastery to acquire proper reading comprehension (Qian, 2002). Zhang and Anual (2008), through a research study with secondary school students in Singapore using VLT, found that the students' vocabulary knowledge at the 2000 and the 3000 word levels correlated with their reading comprehension ability. Some research has been done on the role of vocabulary size in reading comprehension, yet only a few studies correlated participants' performance on VLT with textbook profiling to investigate whether some pedagogy implications could be found.

Liu (2016) reveals the result of VLT and English textbook profiling with Chinese undergraduate students at Jiangsu University of Technology in PRC, China. Her research showed that 81% of the participants had mastered a 2000-word vocabulary, hence most of the students had no significant difficulties in understanding the texts used.

The aforementioned research findings indicate that second language learners' vocabulary size is highly correlated with their reading comprehension ability. In the case of a text that contains too many difficult words, beyond the language learners' vocabulary knowledge and ability, this could hinder their understanding of the text and hence negatively impact to their language learning processes.

### **3. METHODOLOGY**

In this section, the research method, the participants, the materials used and the research procedure followed in this study are described.

#### **3.1 Research Setting**

This research was done with students of reading from the English Department at Putera Batam University in Batam Island, Indonesia. In the last four years, this English Department has looked at the availability of textbooks for reading. The students' vocabulary capability is a main part of their considerations. The reading comprehension classes use the text book chosen by the campus library and are seeking a new, recent edition book. Therefore, this research was done to find out the actual size of the vocabulary of the English students at particular lexical levels, using the VLT by [Schmitt \(2013\)](#) to see whether the current English textbook fits the current vocabulary level of the students.

#### **3.2 Participants**

The participants were 30 first-year English major students studying at Putera Batam University. The 17 male and 13 female participants ranged from 18 to 22 years of age. The Mosaic 1 Reading book, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition, which was used, has been used as the reading text book for the past four years.

#### **3.3 Research Materials**

Two primary sources of materials were used in this research. The first was the English reading text book (see 3.2) used by the students. The second was the VLT.

##### *3.3.1 Vocabulary Levels Test (VLT)*

[Schmitt et al \(2001\)](#) developed the Vocabulary Levels Test which is designed to give an estimation of the vocabulary size of second language (L2) learners of general or academic English. The VLT was used because of its practicality. Regardless of the participants' learning background, the examiner can administer and interpret this test to show the general vocabulary knowledge of the participants. For example, knowledge of the most frequent 2000 words in English provides lexical resources that are required for basic everyday verbal communication. [Schmitt et al \(2001\)](#) have then indicated that the next 1000 words would provide additional material for spoken discourse, 3000 words is the threshold which should allow learners to begin to read real texts. Some researchers (e.g. [Milton, 2013](#); [Schmitt et al, 2001](#); [Teng, 2015](#)) indicate that knowledge of the most frequent 5000 words is needed to provide enough vocabulary to enable learners to read real texts. There might be some words still unknown, but that level of knowledge should allow learners to infer the meaning of any unknown words from their context, and to understand over 90% of the communicative content of a text.

In the case of the academic section had to be fitted somewhere between the frequency levels on the basis of the results from this particular group of examinees, the above mean scores would best place it between the 2000 and the 3000 word levels.

Referring to [Schmitt et al \(2001\)](#), the academic level of vocabulary sits in a broad range between the 2000-word level and the 10000-word level. [Read \(2009\)](#) also states it is useful to calculate a realistic minimum vocabulary size for students. Some scholars work on the assumption that in order to read independently, learners should know at least 95% of the running words in a text. [Hsueh-Chao and Nation \(2000\)](#) say that a reader needs to know up to 98% of the words in a text to achieve adequate comprehension. This means, that from each 50 words in a running text, the reader may only miss the meaning of one word as a maximum to be able to adequately comprehend the text.

The VLT derives from the fact that separate sections measure learners' knowledge of words from a number of distinct frequency levels ([Schmitt et al, 2001](#)). In this way, it facilitates a profile of a learner's vocabulary rather than a single-figure estimate of overall vocabulary size. The levels commonly addressed are the 2000 and the 3000 word levels. [Schmitt et al \(2001\)](#) state that at these levels of the test, the words are taken by stratified sampling from the reading, with reference to frequency data from the General Service List (GSL) ([West, 1953](#)). The only exception to this is the section of the VLT below 2000, where words from the 1000 level and the 2000 level are sampled at a 1:2 ratio. The first thousand words of the General Service List (GSL) are usually those with a frequency higher than 332 occurrences per 5 million words, plus months, days of the week, numbers, titles: Mr, Mrs, Miss, Ms, Mister, plus frequent greetings e.g. 'Hello', 'Hi', etc. The words in the academic section are sampled from the University Word List. Reflecting the distribution of these word classes in English, the words from the stratified sample tended to fall into a 3 (noun): 2(verb): 1 (adjective) ratio. This ratio was maintained in the test, with each section containing three noun clusters, two verb clusters and one adjective cluster. Appendix A illustrates the format of the vocabulary skill test.

Some important aspects of the VLT level test have been proposed by [Schmitt et al \(2001\)](#). The first is about format options. Format options are words instead of definitions. The second is about definitions. The definitions are kept short; hence there is a minimum of reading, allowing for more items to be taken within a given period of time. The third is words. They are learned incrementally, and tests should aim to tap into partial lexical knowledge. The Levels Test was designed to do this. The option words in each cluster are chosen so that they have very different meanings. Therefore, even if students have only a minimal impression of a target word's meaning, they should be able to make the correct match. The fourth are clusters. They are designed to minimize aids to guessing. The target words are in alphabetical order, selected randomly and the definitions are in order of length. The fifth is that words used in the definitions are always more frequent than the target words. The sixth is that word counts from which the target words were sampled typically give base forms. The seventh is each level produces a reliability figure above 0.90. The last is that the target words in each cluster begin with different letters and do not have similar orthographic forms.

Considering the reliability and arguments from previous results, this research is designed to measure students' VLT results at the level of the 2000 to 3000 word classes. These two word classes are grouped as the high frequency range classes ([Gyllstad, 2007](#)). Assessing the participants' vocabulary through these two levels is considered the basic minimum requirement for participants' reading comprehension referring to the Mosaic reader at intermediate level. Moreover, as [Schmitt et al \(2001\)](#)

state, if the test is shortened, the reliability index is likely to become lower as well. The test can be finished in the range of 15 to 60 minutes for a total of 4 levels (2K, 3K, 5K and 10K); therefore, for the 2 levels the time needed would be in the range of 15 to 30 minutes. Considering the vocabulary ability of the participants is still not high, these two levels can still fulfill the minimum measurement expectation for efficiency. Gyllstad (2007) states the VLT reliability coefficient in groups tested varied from 0.81 to 0.95. The VLT has been used in some research to measure the level of vocabulary correlated with the Vocabulary Learning Strategy (Gyllstad, 2007; Teng, 2015; Webb & Sasao, 2013). Considering the relevant research, when using the VLT, the researcher considers that  $X > 0.8$  reliability is an acceptable level.

In Figure 1, at each level, there are sets of words with three definitions on the right side and six words on the left. In this test, a total of 5 sets or 30 words were tested at each level. Participants must choose the right word that goes with each meaning. The examinee must write the number of that word next to its meaning. An example is set out below:

|           |                                       |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|
| 1. copy   | ( _ ) _ end or highest point          |
| 2. event  |                                       |
| 3. motor  | ( _ ) _ this moves a car              |
| 4. pity   |                                       |
| 5. profit | ( _ ) _ thing made to be like another |
| 6. tip    |                                       |

**Figure 1.** A set of words from a Vocabulary Levels Test.

Then the score on each level of VLT would indicate the number of words known at that particular level, e.g.: if an examinee gets 10 words correct that means he knows 33% of the words at that level. Having 24 words (80%) or more correct at a level of VLT indicates mastery of that particular level (Laufer & Nation, 1999).

### 3.3.2 The Textbook Used

The textbook used in this study was Mosaic 1 by (Wegmann & Knezevic, 2001). This book has twelve chapters, and for this research, two chapters were chosen for the profiling.

### 3.3.3 Procedures

First, the students were asked to do the VLT managed by their English lecturer in class. The students were given 30 minutes to complete the entire test and once the test was done, they were scored by the researcher. Then the second step, the students' English textbook, Mosaic 1 was profiled using online software, a so-called VP-Compleat, which can be found from the website: [www.lex tutor.com](http://www.lex tutor.com), developed by Paul Nation. This website is well known around the world and quite popular among researchers in the field of language teaching-learning. This software was designed to analyze all the vocabulary in Text A and Text B (which were chosen randomly) and as a result the lexical level of all the vocabulary in the chapters chosen from the textbook was found. Finally, based on the results of the students' performance on the VLT and the profiling of the chapters from the textbook, discussions were held to determine

whether the new textbook fits the students' current vocabulary level along with some pedagogical implications associated with the choice. The assessment of the participants mastering of the VLT was based on the minimum of 24 words correct at that particular level (Laufer & Nation, 1999). Moreover, the mean score percentage shows the average level of the participants word knowledge in the test.

#### 4. FINDINGS

This section describes the VLT performance and the reading text book used by these English major students.

##### 4.1 Students' Performance on VLT

The participants completed two VLT levels at the 2000 and the 3000-word levels.

**Table 1.** Results of students' performance on Vocabulary Level Test (VLT).

| <i>Level</i> | <i>Max Score</i> | <i>Mean</i> | <i>Percentage of mastery (indicated from 24 items correct)</i> | <i>Mean score (%)</i> |
|--------------|------------------|-------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 2K           | 30               | 18          | 10% ( 3 participants)                                          | 60 %                  |
| 3K           | 30               | 14          | 6% (2 participants)                                            | 47 %                  |

From Table 1, it can be seen that the participants did not do very well on the VLT. The overall mastery at the 2K level was 10%, while only 6% reached the 3K level. The mean score at the 2K level was 60%, and at the 3K level it was 47%.

##### 4.2 Profiling of the Textbook

The English textbook, Mosaic 1 has eight units. Two reading texts were scanned and profiled by using the software VP-Compleat on its website. Figure 1 below shows the lexical distribution of the participants' textbook using the Classic (GSL/AWL) profiling model.

| <b>Freq. Level</b> | <b>Families (%)</b> | <b>Types (%)</b> | <b>Tokens (%)</b> | <b>Cumul. token %</b> |
|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| <b>K-1 Words :</b> | 299 (61.15)         | 372 (58.31)      | 998 (72.27)       | 72.27                 |
| <b>K-2 Words :</b> | 90 (18.40)          | 93 (14.58)       | 118 (8.54)        | 80.81                 |
| <b>K-3 Words :</b> | 47 (9.61)           | 51 (7.99)        | 62 (4.49)         | 85.30                 |

**Figure 2.** The lexical distribution of the participants' textbook, Mosaic 1.

As shown in Figure 2, the vocabulary in the participants' textbook was mostly high-frequency words with 81% from the 1000 and 2000 words lists and only 4% from the 3000. The assessment was done with two readings from the text, Mosaic 1, as per the details in Appendix B.

## **5. DISCUSSION**

Based on the participants' performance in the VLT, the results suggest that most of the participants had not achieved mastery at the most frequent 2000-word level. Table 1 shows that only 3 (10%) of the 30 participants were categorized as a master at the 2000-word level and only 2 (6%) at the 3000 word-level. Considering that about 81% of the textbook vocabulary consists of words at the K-1 and K-2 word levels, most of the students might find it difficult to understand the texts. Based on the results in Table 2, K-3 words take up only 4% of the total vocabulary. Although the percentage of K-3 words is not great since participants did not do very well at the 2000 word level of the VLT, this might negatively impact their understanding of the textbook. According to [Zhang and Anual \(2008\)](#), K-2 and K-3 words are highly correlated to reading comprehension skills. Therefore, most of the participants were not likely to understand the textbook. The vocabulary level is correlated to the reading comprehension. Additionally, based on the results shown in Figure 1, words at the K-1, K-2 and K-3 word levels cover 85.3% of all words in the book which is close to the findings of [Nation \(2001\)](#). K-1, K-2 and K-3 words, in most situations, give coverage of 95% of running text. Those students with cover 95% of vocabulary are expected to comprehend the reading text than those below.

Although only a small number of samples of this research study restrict the generalizability of the findings, yet some pedagogical implications can still be drawn for tertiary students of the same proficiency level using similar textbooks. First, as most of the students had not mastered words at the K-2 word level of the VLT, it is suggested that teachers should still focus on the K-1 to K-2 level words by exposing their students to these words in their daily teaching. Besides, extra vocabulary training and practice should be provided to the group of students who scored less than 24 (80%), in this case the majority. Second, since the students' performance on the K-3 levels was not yet satisfactory, they might not be able to comprehend more complicated articles or texts. Textbooks with coverage of 85.3% K-1 to K-3 words can help them build up their vocabulary to the K-3 level. Therefore, the teachers should make good use of this textbook, putting emphasis on those frequently used words from the K-1 to K-3 levels, guiding the students with constant reviews and using those words in context until they fully grasp the meanings of them. Meanwhile, teachers should carefully select readings from various reading texts which fit the students' needs and give them to the students as extra reading, thus accommodating students' sensitivity to understand words at the 2000 and 3000 levels and even higher level such as 5000 to 10000 ([Zhang & Anual, 2008](#)). The after reading evaluation could also be the base for choosing the next reading text to be used to achieve higher level.

## **6. CONCLUSIONS**

The present study showed that all but two of the students had not yet achieved mastery at the 2000 and 3000-word levels. As the performance of the students at these levels was not satisfactory, the results showed that these students needed more training and practice at the frequently used 2000 to 3000 word levels first before they moved up to the next level. The lexis of their textbook was normally distributed, with the 2000 common words accounting for about 81%. As the textbook was in excess of the

students' current level they could not easily use that book for their English learning. These results showed that the students' VLT level was lower than that which had been found in previous studies. Liu (2016), for example, declares that 81% of the participants in his study had mastered the 2000-word level.

It is suggested that teachers should not only make good use of their textbook but also deliberately find extra material for enlarging the students' vocabulary, for example, they should find suitable material from other reading texts such as from the internet sources and find ways to add more common words to the vocabulary of their students. Vocabulary knowledge, which has proved to be a predictor of learners' language proficiency, plays a significant role in language learning. Language teachers should pay special attention to students' vocabulary learning, assisting them with appropriate and effective ways to learn and enlarge their vocabulary to at least meet the minimum requirement for reading at the level of their texts. To consider the vocabulary level of their students when teaching is crucial for successful teaching-learning of ESL. This research, by making an attempt to link learners' vocabulary size at particular levels to the profiling of the textbook, might serve as a good method for choosing teaching materials and designing a teaching syllabus for a second or foreign language context, and as input for teachers as to how to deal with reading texts. Although the sample is relatively small, which limits the generalizability of the findings, this study represents a group of undergraduates who are at the same English proficiency level in an Indonesian university context, where in fact their major is English. Based on the findings of this research, future research could concentrate on enlarging the vocabulary of participants at other levels and in other faculties and with various backgrounds.

## REFERENCES

- Gyllstad, H. (2007). *Testing English collocations: Developing receptive tests for use with advanced Swedish learners* (Doctoral), Lund University, Lund.
- Hsueh-Chao, M. H., & Nation, P. (2000). Unknown vocabulary density and reading comprehension. *Reading in a foreign language*, 13(1), 403-430.
- Laufer, B., & Nation, P. (1999). A vocabulary size test of controlled productive ability. *Language Testing*, 16(1), 36-55.
- Liu, J. (2016). Role of Vocabulary Levels Test (VLT) in Chinese undergraduate students' comprehension of textbooks. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 7(2), 364-369.
- Milton, J. (2009). *Measuring second language vocabulary acquisition*. Clevedon: Multilingual Matters.
- Milton, J. (2013). *Measuring the contribution of vocabulary knowledge to proficiency in the four skills*. In C. Bardel, C. Lindqvist, & B. Laufer (Eds.), *L2 vocabulary acquisition, knowledge and use: New perspectives on assessment and corpus analysis*. Essex: Euro SLA.
- Moghadam, S. H., Zainal, Z., & Ghaderpour, M. (2012). A review on the importance role of vocabulary knowledge in reading comprehension performance. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 66, 555-563.
- Nation, P. (2001). *Learning vocabulary in another language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Qian, D. D. (2002). Investigating the relationship between vocabulary knowledge and academic reading performance: An assessment perspective. *Language Learning*, 52(3), 513-536.
- Read, J. (2009). *Assessing vocabulary*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Schmitt, N. (2013). *Vocabulary in language learning*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Schmitt, N., Schmitt, D., & Clapham, C. (2001). Developing and exploring behaviour of two new versions of the Vocabulary Levels Test. *Language Testing*, 18(1), 55-88.
- Teng, F. (2014). Assessing the depth and breadth of vocabulary knowledge with listening comprehension. *PASAA: A Journal of Language Teaching and Learning*, 48(2), 29-56.
- Teng, F. (2015). Assessing relationship between vocabulary learning strategy use and vocabulary knowledge. *PASAA: A Journal of Language Teaching and Learning*, 49(1), 39-66.
- Webb, S. A., & Sasao, Y. (2013). New directions in vocabulary testing. *RELC Journal*, 44(3), 263-277.
- Wegmann, B., & Knezevic, M. (2001). *Mosaic 1: Reading (4th ed.)*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- West, M. P. (1953). *A general service list of English words*. London: Longman.
- Xing, P., & Fulcher, G. (2007). Reliability assessment for two versions of Vocabulary Levels Tests. *System*, 35(2), 182-191.
- Zhang, L. J., & Anual, S. B. (2008). The role of vocabulary in reading comprehension: The case of secondary school students learning English in Singapore. *RELC Journal*, 39(1), 51-76.

## APPENDIX 1:

### Vocabulary Level Test (VLT)

*Students' instruction sheet for the Vocabulary Level Test (VLT).*

This is a vocabulary test. You must choose the right word to go with each meaning. Write the number of that word next to its meaning. Here is an example:

- |   |          |               |                            |
|---|----------|---------------|----------------------------|
| 1 | Business |               |                            |
| 2 | Clock    | <u>Wall</u>   | part of a house            |
| 3 | Horse    | <u>Horse</u>  | animal with four legs      |
| 4 | Pencil   | <u>Pencil</u> | something used for writing |
| 5 | Shoe     |               |                            |
| 6 | Wall     |               |                            |

You answer it in the following way:

- |   |          |          |                       |
|---|----------|----------|-----------------------|
| 1 | Business |          |                       |
| 2 | Clock    | <u>6</u> | part of a house       |
| 3 | Horse    | <u>3</u> | animal with four legs |

- 4 Pencil                      4 something used for writing  
 5 Shoe  
 6 Wall

Some extra words are in the list to make the test more difficult. You do not have to find a meaning for those words. In the example above, those words are business, clock and shoe. If you have no idea about the meaning of a word, do not guess. But if you think you know the meaning, and then you should try to pick the right answer.

**The 2000 word level**

|   |             |                          |   |             |                           |
|---|-------------|--------------------------|---|-------------|---------------------------|
| 1 | copy        | _____ end of highest     | 1 | accident    | _____ loud, deep          |
| 2 | event       | _____ point              | 2 | debt        | _____ sound               |
| 3 | motor       | _____ this moves         | 3 | fortune     | _____ something you       |
| 4 | pity        | _____ a car              | 4 | pride       | _____ must pay            |
| 5 | profit      | _____ thing made to      | 5 | roar        | _____ having a high       |
| 6 | tip         | _____ be like another    | 6 | thread      | _____ opinion of yourself |
| 1 | coffee      | _____ money for          | 1 | arrange     | _____ grow                |
| 2 | disease     | _____ work               | 2 | develop     | _____ put it in order     |
| 3 | justice     | _____ a piece of         | 3 | lean        | _____ like more than      |
| 4 | skirt       | _____ clothing           | 4 | owe         | _____ something else      |
| 5 | stage       | _____ using the law      | 5 | prefer      |                           |
| 6 | wage        | _____ the right way      | 6 | seize       |                           |
| 1 | clerk       | _____ a drink            | 1 | blame       | _____ make                |
| 2 | frame       | _____ office worker      | 2 | elect       | _____ choose by voting    |
| 3 | noise       | _____ unwanted           | 3 | jump        | _____ become like         |
| 4 | respect     | _____ sound              | 4 | threaten    | _____ water               |
| 5 | theater     |                          | 5 | melt        |                           |
| 6 | wine        |                          | 6 | manufacture |                           |
| 1 | dozen       | _____ chance             | 1 | ancient     | _____ not easy            |
| 2 | empire      | _____ twelve             | 2 | curious     | _____ very old            |
| 3 | gift        | _____ money paid to      | 3 | difficult   | _____ related to God      |
| 4 | tax         | _____ the                | 4 | entire      |                           |
| 5 | relief      | _____ government         | 5 | holy        |                           |
| 6 | opportunity |                          | 6 | social      |                           |
| 1 | admire      | _____ made wider         | 1 | slight      | _____ beautiful           |
| 2 | complain    | _____ or longer          | 2 | bitter      | _____ small               |
| 3 | fix         | _____ bring in for the   | 3 | lovely      | _____ liked by many       |
| 4 | hire        | _____ first time         | 4 | merry       | _____ people              |
| 5 | introduce   | _____ have a high        | 5 | popular     |                           |
| 6 | stretch     | _____ opinion of someone | 6 | independent |                           |

### The 3,000 word level

|   |                |                    |   |            |                       |
|---|----------------|--------------------|---|------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | bull           | _____ formal and   | 1 | muscle     | _____ advice          |
| 2 | champion       | _____ serious      | 2 | counsel    |                       |
| 3 | dignity        | _____ manner       | 3 | factor     | _____ a place         |
| 4 | hell           | _____ winner of    | 4 | hen        | _____ covered         |
| 5 | museum         | _____ sporting     | 5 | lawn       | _____ with grass      |
| 6 | solution       | _____ event        | 6 | atmosphere | _____ female          |
|   |                | _____ building     |   |            | _____ chicken         |
|   |                | _____ where        |   |            |                       |
|   |                | _____ valuable     |   |            |                       |
|   |                | _____ objects      |   |            |                       |
|   |                | _____ are shown    |   |            |                       |
| 1 | blanket        | _____ holiday      | 1 | abandon    | _____ live in a place |
| 2 | contest        |                    | 2 | dwelt      | _____ follow in       |
| 3 | generation     | _____ good quality | 3 | oblige     | _____ order to        |
| 4 | merit          |                    | 4 | pursue     | _____ catch           |
| 5 | plot           | _____ covering     | 5 | quote      | _____ leave           |
| 6 | vacation       | _____ used on      | 6 | resolve    | _____ something       |
|   |                | _____ beds         |   |            | _____ permanently     |
| 1 | comment        | _____ long formal  | 1 | assemble   | _____ look closely    |
| 2 | gown           | _____ dress        | 2 | attach     |                       |
| 3 | import         | _____ goods from   | 3 | peer       | _____ stop doing      |
| 4 | nerve          | _____ a foreign    | 4 | quit       | _____ something       |
| 5 | pasture        | _____ country      | 5 | scream     |                       |
| 6 | tradition      | _____ part of the  | 6 | toss       | _____ cry out loudly  |
|   |                | _____ body         |   |            | _____ in fear         |
|   |                | _____ which        |   |            |                       |
|   |                | _____ carries      |   |            |                       |
|   |                | _____ feelings     |   |            |                       |
| 1 | pond           | _____ group of     | 1 | drift      | _____ suffer          |
| 2 | angel          | _____ animals      | 2 | endure     | _____ patiently       |
| 3 | frost          | _____ spirit who   | 3 | grasp      | _____ join threads of |
| 4 | herd           | _____ serves God   | 4 | knit       | _____ wool together   |
| 5 | fort           | _____ managing     | 5 | register   | _____ hold firmly     |
| 6 | administration | _____ business     | 6 | tumble     | _____ with your       |
|   |                | _____ and affairs  |   |            | _____ hands           |
| 1 | brilliant      | _____ thin         | 1 | aware      | _____ usual           |
| 2 | distinct       |                    | 2 | blank      | _____ best or most    |
| 3 | magic          | _____ steady       | 3 | desperate  | _____ important       |
| 4 | naked          |                    | 4 | normal     | _____ knowing what    |
| 5 | slender        | _____ without      | 5 | striking   | _____ is happening    |
| 6 | stable         | _____ clothes      | 6 | supreme    |                       |

## APPENDIX 2

### Reading Texts

#### Reading 1

##### *Living in the U.S.A*

##### Size

It is difficult to really experience or “feel” the size of the United States, even when you know the actual number of miles from coast to coast. To get the full impact you should realize, for example, that it takes forty-eight hours (two entire days and two whole nights) to travel by train from Chicago to Los Angeles, rolling along hour after hour, across wheat fields, mountains and deserts; Chicago is an overnight train trip from New York.

Another way to think about it is to compare distances in the United States with others more familiar to you. For example, New York to Washington, D.C., is about the same as London to Paris or Nairobi to Mombasa or Tokyo to Kyoto; New York to Los Angeles is farther than Lisbon to Cairo or Moscow to Montreal or New Delhi to Rome.

##### Climate

Naturally, with such distances, the climate in the continental United States is also one of great extremes. From New England and New York through Chicago and much of the Midwest and Northwest, temperatures vary from sub-zero in winter to the high nineties or over in summer (Fahrenheit).

The South and Southwest have warmer weather, though even these sections have occasional frosts and periods of moderate cold. Generally, summers are likely to range from 70° F to 100° F (21°C to 38° C), and many areas can be quite humid. However, air conditioning is so widespread that you can expect most buildings, even many private homes, to be kept at relatively comfortable temperatures.

##### Americans in motion

Americans are a restless people most travel whenever they get the chance. They crowd onto trains, buses, and planes. In increasing numbers, they hike or travel around in cars on holidays. Americans are joined by millions of tourists from other countries who come to enjoy the people and the culture.

##### Blunt speech

Don't think that Americans are being rude if we tend to speak in monosyllables or answer with a mere “O.K.,” “sure” or “nope” or greet you with “hi.” Our brevity is not a personal insult, though to those accustomed to formal phrases, we seem blunt. American informality has become more desirable than formal expressions of greeting or farewell.

##### A Do-it-Yourself society

The United States is a do-it-yourself country. We generally carry our own bags, take our laundry to the laundromat, stand in line at the grocery store, or shine our own shoes, whoever we may be – lawyer, professor, bank president, or corporate executive. Anyone who can afford the high cost of service in this country and wants to pay for it, may. But there is absolutely no social stigma in doing one's own daily chores, no matter

how menial. In fact, Americans take pride in do-it-yourself accomplishments and may devote a great deal of their leisure time to projects around the home. Huge warehouse stores have been built throughout the countries which cater to do-it-yourself tasks.

Many Americans who could afford household help or a driver or a gardener do not employ them. They prefer family privacy, independence, and freedom from responsibility, all of which are at least partially lost when one has help in one's home.

Houses interest Americans greatly. They spend much of their time thinking and reading and talking about the designs of their houses, their decorations and how to improve them. Many weekend hours are passed in do-it-yourself projects around the house. People also love to look at each other's houses. Since they would thoroughly enjoy visiting and examining a house in another country, they assume that you will probably have the same desires. Don't be surprised, therefore, if you are shown the entire house from top to bottom, including bathrooms and closets! Don't make the mistake of refusing to go: the whole house may have been cleaned especially for you!

Because Americans have come from so many nationalities, there is a far wider range of what is acceptable than some countries where the inhabitants have grown up with a common heritage. As a result, no one needs to feel awkward or uncomfortable in following his or her own customs. Although Americans are noticeably informal, if you prefer somewhat greater formality, feel free to act in your own way. This will be acceptable to those around you. You can "do your own thing" and be respected in the USA to a very large extent.

## **Reading 2**

### *Soapy Smith*

Jefferson Randolph 'Soapy' Smith probably ranks as Skagway's best known character from the gold-rush days. Certainly, he was its most notorious conman, it is said that at the height of the gold rush, Smith and his gang virtually controlled the town, a reign that ended in a shoot-out with one of Skagway's leading citizens, Frank Reid.

Smith was born in Georgia in 1860 to parents who were both members of prominent southern families. Smith spent most of his formative years in Texas, where his family moved in the 1870s. After his father, a lawyer, fell on hard times, young Jeff was forced to earn a living as a delivery boy and as a runner for a hotel, a job in which he rustled up customers and thus discovered his natural gift for speech.

When still in his teens, Smith hired on as a trail hand on cattle drives, and spent several years drifting about the West. He eventually learned sleight-of-hand tricks and made a living in the mining camps with gambling games such as the peas-under-the-shell game. He acquired his nickname "Soapy" from a game which involved hiding large bills in bars of soap.

Smith, who was generally opposed to violent methods, graduated to larger operations and set up in Denver where he formed a gang. In Denver, he acquired a wide reputation for his con games, as well as for his generosity to charities, churches, and those in desperate need. Also in Denver, he married a singer by the name of Anna Nielsen, whom he kept insulated from his "public" life and who eventually bore his children. About 1890, Smith set up operations, including a gambling hall, in Creede, Colorado, a wide-open mining town, but eventually returned to Denver. After numerous

run-ins with the law and local politicians, Soapy Smith quit Colorado and, in October 1897, arrived with his gang in Skagway, apparently with intentions of “taking over” the town.

Working out of an establishment called Jeff Smith’s parlor, an oyster parlor that also offered liquor and gambling, Smith and his gang were soon operating their con games, as well as taking part in some outright robbery, running a protection racket, and overseeing businesses like Smith’s “telegraph office.” This last business, which charged \$5 to send a message anywhere in the world, might have been legitimate but for the fact that Skagway had no telegraph lines.

Despite his lawless ways, Smith was liked and respected by many for his charity, which included organizing a program to adopt stray dogs. The townspeople, however, had no use whatsoever for his gang. Eventually, several of Skagway’s leading citizens formed a vigilante-style “committee of 101” to rid the town of its criminal element. Among the committee’s founders was 54-years-old Frank Reid, a former Indian fighter and surveyor who happened to have laid out the original town.

The showdown between Soapy Smith and Frank Reid began when a young miner, J. D. Stewart, arrived in Skagway from the Klondike carrying \$2,700 in gold. Somehow, and apparently with the help of someone, Stewart and his gold parted ways. The Committee of 101, hearing Stewart’s loud complaints, suspected Soapy Smith and his gang, and on July 8, 1898, called a meeting on the Skagway wharf to take action. Soapy Smith tried to force his way into the meeting, but found his path along the wharf blocked by Frank Reid, after a brief struggle, the two exchanged gunfire and both fell to the deck. Smith died immediately of a bullet through the heart; Reid lingered 12 days longer.

With the death of Soapy Smith, the law-abiding citizens of Skagway got rid of other members of the gang. Most of them were shipped south, and many served time in prison. Smith and Reid were buried near each other in the Skagway cemetery, with Reid’s tombstone bearing the words. “He gave his life for the honor of Skagway.” Soapy Smith’s tombstone became a favorite among souvenir seekers, who believed a piece of the stone would bring them good luck.

[Received 10 February 2017; revised 15 June 2017; accepted 3 August 2017]

## THE AUTHOR

**Alpino Susanto** finished his Graduate study of English at the Teacher Training Faculty at Riau University in March 1995. He finished his Master’s study at Magister Management at Open University in October 2012. He had spent sixteen years as Senior Staff in four Semiconductor Companies from 1996 up to 2013. Now he is a Ph.D. candidate at the University Tun Hussein Onn, Malaysia. His current Ph.D. thesis focuses on vocabulary learning strategies, motivation and vocabulary skill. He teaches the courses of Reading and Translation at Putera Batam University in Batam.